arXiv:hep-th/0511255v1 24 Nov 2005

Curvaton reheating in tachyonic braneworld inflation

Cuauhtemoc Campuzano[*] and Sergio del Campo[†]
Instituto de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Casilla 4059, Valparaiso, Chile.

Ramón Herrera[‡]
Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Andrés Bello, Avenida República 237, Santiago, Chile.

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

The curvaton reheating in a tachyonic braneworld inflationary universe model with an exponential potential is studied. We have found that the energy density in the kinetic epoch, has a complicated dependencies of the scale factor. For different scenarios the temperature of reheating is computed, finding an upper limit that lies in the range 10^{14}–10^{16}GeV.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

Inflationary models predict a flat universe and a nearly scale-invariant spectrum of primordial density perturbations [1]. One of the predictions of inflation that needs to be tested is a stochastic gravitational - wave background with a nearly scale-invariant spectrum [2]. In this way, detection of the cosmic microwave background polarization pattern induced by inflationary gravitational waves of wavelengths comparable to the horizon has become a goal of next-generation CMB experiments [3].

During the inflationary period the universe becomes dominated by the inflation scalar potential. But at the end of this phase the universe presents a combination of kinetic and potential energies associated to this scalar field, which is assumed to occur at very low temperature. The way of defrosting the universe after the period of inflation is know as reheating. Theory of reheating for the new inflationary universe was studied in Refs.[4] . During this period, most of the matter is created via the decay of the inflaton scalar field, while the temperature grows at high enough value, such that the standard Big-Bang universe model is recovered.

All this process occurs due to collisions and decay in which the quasiperiodic evolution of the scalar fields lead to a creation of particles and thus the universe becomes hot. How hot results the universe depend on the quantity known as the reheating temperature, which is defined by assuming a conversion of energy density associated to the scalar field into radiation, while the decay width of the energy density happens.

In the standard theory of reheating the period of oscillation of the inflation field is a crucial part. These oscillations occur at the minimum of the potential. However, there exist some models where the inflation potential does not have a minimum and thus the scalar field could not oscillate. These sort of models are known as non-oscillating (NO) models [5]. The implementation of reheating in these kind of scenarios have been varied: The "gravitational particle production" [6], which has been shown to be inefficient, since it may lead to some cosmological problems [7, 8]. As an alternative mechanism of reheating is the "instant reheating", which characterizes by introducing an interactions between the inflation field and an additional scalar field. These model depends strongly on the parameters introduced via the interaction term. Perhaps, the most efficient form of implementation reheating in NO models is the so-called "curvaton reheating" [9], which consists on introducing a new scalar field, apart of the inflaton the so called curvaton field. At difference of the instant reheating case, there is not interaction between these two scalar fields [10]. The main characteristic of this scenario is that the matter described by the scalar curvaton is such that the energy density is not diluted during inflation and some or all of the present matter in the universe may have survive inflation via the curvaton scalar field.

On the other hand, the idea of considering extra dimension has received great attention in the last few years, since it is believed that these models shed light on the solution to fundamental problems when the universe is traced back to a very early time. Brane World (WB) cosmology offered a novel approach to our understanding of the evolution of the universe at higher dimension. The most spectacular consequence of this scenario is the modification of the Friedmann equation. When a five dimensional model is considered the matter describe by a scalar field or any other field, is confined to a four dimensional brane while gravity can propagate though the bulk. These kinds of models can be obtained from a higher superstring theory [11]. For a review on BW cosmology, see Refs.[12] among others.

Coming from higher dimensional gravity theory, the tachyonic field matter might provide an explanation for inflation and could contribute to some new form of cosmological dark matter at late times. Although, as it was pointed out in Ref.[8], a homogeneous tachyonic field evolves toward its ground state without oscillating about this state. Hence, the conventional reheating mechanisms in tachyonic models does not work [13]. As we already

---

[*] Electronic address: ccampuz@mail.ucv.cl
[†] Electronic address: sdelcamp@ucv.cl
[‡] Electronic address: rherrera@unab.cl

mentioned, become the alternative mechanisms such that have proved to be inefficient the gravitational particle production on instant preheating [7, 8]. In this sense, we believe that the introduction of the curvaton field plays an important role in the reheating period associated o the tachyonic inflationary universe model. Specifically, we explore the curvaton reheating mechanisms in tachyonic inflationary unverse models with an exponential potential (i.e. a NO model) by considering BW cosmology. We follow a similar procedure to that describe in Refs. [7, 14]. As the energy density decreases, the tachyonic field makes a transition into a kinetic energy dominated regime bringing inflation to the end. We considered the evolution of the curvaton field through three different stages. Firstly, it is assumed that the curvaton field coexists with the inflaton field during inflation. In this period the tachyonic energy density is the dominant component (even through the curvaton field survives the rapid expansion of the universe). The following stage, i.e., during the kinetic epoch, is that in which the curvaton mass term becomes important. In order to prevent a period of curvaton-driven inflation, the universe must still remain inflaton-driven during this time. When the effective mass of the curvaton becomes important, the scalar field starts to oscillate around at the minimum of its potential. Here the energy density, associated to this field starts to evolve as a non-relativistic matter. At the final stage, the curvaton field decays into radiation and then the standard Big-Bang cosmology is recovered. In order that the model could work the decay of the curvaton field should occur before the period of nucleosynthesis. Other constraints may arise depending on he epoch of the decay, which is governed by the decay parameter. Here, there are two scenarios to be possible, depending on whether the curvaton field decays before or after it becomes the dominant component of the universe.

In the first stage the dynamics of the tachyon field in BW cosmology is described in the slow-roll over approach [8]. Nevertheless, after inflation, the term is negligible compared to the friction term [15]. This epoch is called "kinetic epoch" or "kination", and we will use the subscript "k" to label the value of the different quantities at the beginning of this epoch. Even though, this kinetic epoch does not occur immediately after inflation, since exist an epoch in between in which, the tachyonic potential force is negligible compared to the friction term since the friction coefficient is proportional to the potential [15].

II. INFLATIONARY DYNAMICS

In this section we give a brief description of an inflationary model in a braneworld scenario, assuming that the tachyonic field ϕ drive inflation.

For the Randall-Sundrum model[16], the standard Friedman equation is modified, and for large energies is given by

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa_0}{3}\rho_\phi\left(1+\frac{\rho_\phi}{2\lambda}\right) \approx \frac{\kappa_0}{6}\frac{\rho_\phi^2}{\lambda}, \qquad (1)$$

where λ is the brane tension, ρ_ϕ denote the energy density for the tachyonic field ϕ. Here, large energies indicate that $\rho_\phi \gg \lambda$. The Hubble factor is denote by H, and $\kappa_0 = 8\pi m_p^{-2}$, m_p is the Planck mass. The energy density for the tachyonic field ρ_ϕ for an effective fluid with energy-momentum tensor equal to $T^\mu_\nu = diag(-\rho, p, p, p)$ is given by:

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{V(\phi)}{\sqrt{1-\dot{\phi}^2}}, \qquad (2)$$

and the tachyonic field satisfied the field equation

$$\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{1-\dot{\phi}^2} + 3\,H\,\dot{\phi} = -\frac{V'(\phi)}{V(\phi)}, \qquad (3)$$

where the dots denote derivative with respect to time, $V(\phi)$ is the effective scalar potential and the prime indicates a $\phi-$ derivative. The tachyonic potential $V(\phi)$, is such that it satisfies $V(\phi) \to 0$ as $\phi \to \infty$. Sen [17] has argued that the qualitative dynamics of string theory tachyon can be describe by the exponential potential,

$$V(\phi) = V_0 e^{-\alpha\sqrt{\kappa_0}\phi},$$

where α and V_0 are free parameters.

In the slow roll-over approaches for BW cosmology, the field equations are:

$$H = \sqrt{\frac{k_0}{6\lambda}}\,V(\phi), \qquad (4)$$

and

$$3H\dot{\phi} = -\frac{V'(\phi)}{V(\phi)} = \alpha\sqrt{k_0}. \qquad (5)$$

In this approximation the scale factor is given by [8]

$$\frac{a}{a_i} = \exp\left[\beta t\left(C - \frac{\alpha^2}{6\beta}\,t\right)\right], \qquad (6)$$

and the scalar field have the expression

$$\phi = -\frac{1}{\alpha\sqrt{k_0}}\ln\left(C - \frac{\alpha^2}{3\beta}t\right), \qquad (7)$$

where $C = \exp(-\alpha\sqrt{k_0}\phi_i)$, $\beta = \frac{V_0}{\sqrt{6\lambda k_0}}$ and $\phi(t = t_i = 0) = \phi_i$.

The final time of inflation is given by

$$t_{end} = \frac{3\beta}{\alpha^2}\left(C - \frac{\alpha}{\beta\sqrt{3}}\right), \qquad (8)$$

and we have

$$V_{end}=\frac{V_0\alpha}{\beta\sqrt{3}}=\alpha\sqrt{2\lambda},\quad \dot{\phi}^2_{end}=\frac{1}{3}. \tag{9}$$

The number of e-folding N is express in term of V_i and V_{end} in the form

$$V^2_{end}=\left(\frac{V_i^2}{2N+1}\right), \tag{10}$$

where we have used the definition for the number of e-folding, given by

$$N=\ln\frac{a}{a_i}=\int_{t_i=0}^{t}H(t')dt'.$$

III. KINETIC EPOCH

The dynamic of the curvaton field σ though different stages are described. This allows us to find the constrains upon the parameters of the model, and thus, to have a viable curvaton scenario, together with an steep inflationary scenario. We considered that the curvaton field obeys the Klein-Gordon equation, and for simplicity, we assumed that the scalar potential is given by $U(\sigma)=m^2\sigma^2/2$, where m is the curvaton mass.

First of all, it is assumed that the field σ coexists with the tachyonic field ϕ during inflation, but the tachyonic energy density ρ_ϕ is the dominant component in relation the curvaton energy density, i.e. $\rho_\phi\gg\rho_\sigma$.

In the next scenario, the curvaton field oscillated around the minimum of the effective potential $U(\sigma)$, its energy density evolves as a non-relativistic matter. During the kinetic epoch the universe must remain tachyonic-dominated until this epoch, this prevents a period of curvaton-drive inflation. In the last scenario the curvaton decays into radiation and the standard big bang cosmology is recovered.

In the inflationary regimen is supposed that the curvaton mass satisfied the condition $m\ll H_f$ and its dynamics is described in detail in Ref.[7]. When the curvaton energy is equally distributed into kinetic and potential at the beginning of inflation, i.e. $\dot{\sigma}_i^2\sim m^2\sigma_i^2$, the condition $m\ll H_f$ makes the curvaton field remain constant under general initial conditions, and we can write $\sigma_f\simeq\sigma_i$ and $\dot{\sigma}_f=0$, the subscripts i and f are used to denote the beginning and end of inflation, respectively.

As it was commented above, the dynamic of the curvaton field coexists with the surviving tachyon field after inflation, whose energy density is by far the dominant one at the end of inflation. The hypothesis is that during the kinetic epoch the Hubble parameter has decreased so that its value becomes comparable whit the curvaton field mass m. At this time we have $m\simeq H$.

The dynamics of the BW cosmology for the tachyon field in the kinetic regimen is described by the equations:

$$\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{1-\dot{\phi}^2}+3\,H\,\dot{\phi}=0, \tag{11}$$

and

$$H^2\approx\frac{k_0}{6\lambda}\rho^2_\phi. \tag{12}$$

From Eq. (11) we find a first integral for $\dot{\phi}$ in terms of the scale factor given by

$$\dot{\phi}^2=\frac{1}{1+C\,a^6}\,;\qquad C=\frac{1-\dot{\phi_k}^2}{\dot{\phi_k}^2\,a_k^6}>0, \tag{13}$$

C is an integration constant, $\dot{\phi_k}$ and a_k represent values at the beginning of the kinetic epoch for the time derivative of the tachyonic field and the scale factor, respectively. A universe dominated by tachyonic field would go under accelerated expansion if $\dot{\phi}^2<\frac{1}{3}$. The end of inflation is characterized by the value $\dot{\phi}^2_{end}=\frac{1}{3}$. By now, the value of $\dot{\phi}$ at the beginning of the kinetic epoch lies in the range $1\gtrsim\dot{\phi_k}^2\gtrsim\frac{1}{3}$.

Substituting the expression for ρ_ϕ, the potential $V(\phi)$ and $\dot{\phi}^2$ from the above expressions, Eq.(13), and by using that

$$\dot{a}=\frac{d\,a}{d\,\phi}\,\dot{\phi}=\frac{1}{(1+Ca^6)^{1/2}}\frac{d\,a}{d\,\phi},$$

the resulting equation is integrated for obtaining a relation between the potential V and the scale factor a, given by

$$V(\phi)=V(\phi(a))=V=V_0e^{-\alpha\sqrt{k_0}\phi_k}-\alpha\sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{3}}\times$$

$$[ArcTan(\sqrt{C}a^3)-ArcTan(\sqrt{C}a_k^3)], \tag{14}$$

and the Hubble factor becomes

$$H=\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{6C\lambda}}\;\frac{V}{a^3}\;\sqrt{1+Ca^6}=H_k\frac{V}{V_k}\frac{a_k^3}{a^3}\frac{\sqrt{1+Ca^6}}{\sqrt{1+Ca_k^6}}. \tag{15}$$

The energy density in terms of the scale factor results to be

$$\rho_\phi=\rho_\phi^k\frac{V}{V_k}\frac{a_k^3}{a^3}\frac{\sqrt{1+Ca^6}}{\sqrt{1+Ca_k^6}}, \tag{16}$$

where

$$H_k=\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{6\lambda}}\;\rho^k_\phi. \tag{17}$$

When the curvaton mass satisfies $m \simeq H$, then we find that

$$\frac{m}{H_k} = \frac{V_m}{V_k}\,\frac{a_k^3}{a_m^3}\,\frac{\sqrt{1+Ca_m^6}}{\sqrt{1+Ca_k^6}}. \tag{18}$$

In order to prevent a period of curvaton-driven inflation, the universe must still be dominated by the tachyonic field, i.e. $\rho_\phi|_{a_m} = \rho_\phi^{(m)} \gg \rho_\sigma \sim U(\sigma_f) \simeq U(\sigma_i)$. This inequality allows us to find a constraint on the initial value of the curvaton field in the inflationary scenario. Hence, from Eq. (1), at the moment when $H \simeq m$, we establish the restriction

$$\frac{m^2\sigma_i^2}{2\rho_\phi^{(m)}} = \frac{m\sigma_i^2}{2}\,\frac{\sqrt{k_0}}{\sqrt{6\lambda}} \ll 1 \Rightarrow \sigma_i^2 \ll \frac{\sqrt{24\lambda}}{m\sqrt{k_0}}. \tag{19}$$

This expression does not remain as in the case of Ref.[7], due to the presence of the brane tension. This its becomes subdominant at the time when the curvaton mass m is the order of H. Moreover, the curvaton energy should also be subdominant at the end of inflation. This restriction justifies the initial hypothesis in which the curvaton mass results to be smaller than the Hubble parameter at the end of inflation. This gives a new result on the constraint of m at different that happened in the standard cosmology. Now, the ratio between the potential energies at the end of inflation U and V, is given by

$$\frac{U_f}{V_f} = \frac{m^2\sigma_i^2\sqrt{k_0}}{H_f\sqrt{24\lambda}} \ll \frac{m}{H_f}. \tag{20}$$

Here we have used Eq.(19). In this way, the curvaton mass should obey the constraint in the tachyonic models

$$m \ll H_f, \tag{21}$$

condition that sure that curvaton field remains constant under general initial conditions, i.e. the curvaton energy is equally distributed, so that $\sigma_f \simeq \sigma_i$. In our case the value of the curvaton mass does not depend on the parameter α that appears in the effective potential $V(\phi)$ as in the case of standard inflation. In the standard case we observe that the constraint on the curvaton mass results to be increase by a factor $1/\alpha$. However, in our case, this situation does not occur, allowing to decreases the strong constraint in the range of curvaton mass.

After the curvaton field becomes effectively massive, its energy decays as a non-relativistic matter in the form

$$\rho_\sigma = \frac{m^2\sigma_i^2}{2}\,\frac{a_m^3}{a^3}. \tag{22}$$

As we have anticipated above the curvaton field cross by different stages. The curvaton decay provides two different scenarios: one when curvaton decay after domination and other one when the decay occurs before dominating.

On the other hand, follows the detailed analysis doing in [7] we show that the produced scalar perturbation amplitude is related with the other parameters of the model through the curvaton fluctuations. During the time that the fluctuations are inside the horizon, they obey the same differential equation as the inflaton fluctuations do. From that we may conclude that they acquire an amplitude given by $\delta\sigma_i \simeq H_i/2\pi$. Once the fluctuations are out of the horizon, they obey the same differential equation as the unperturbed curvaton field. then we expect that they remain constant during inflation, under quite general initial conditions. Now we should take into account that the tachyon field survives the inflationary period, and study how the final spectrum of perturbation could be modify by this.

For the first scenario, when the curvaton comes to dominate the cosmic expansion, there must be a moment when the tachyonic and curvaton energy densities becomes equal. Joining the expressions for the ratio of energy densities and the value of the Hubble parameter, we find the Hubble parameter as a function of the parameters introduced by the curvaton. This gives us a new result for the range of the decay parameter. This parameter has an upper limit which becomes smaller than its analogous value comparing to the standard case. that means a diminishing in the reheating temperature as one will see forward. From Eqs. (16), (17), (18) and (22) at the times when $(\rho_\sigma = \rho_\phi)$, we get

$$\left.\frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho_\phi}\right|_{a=a_{eq}} = \frac{\sqrt{k_0}m^2\sigma_i^2}{\sqrt{24\lambda}H_k}\,\frac{a_m^3}{a_k^3}\,\frac{V_k}{V_{eq}}\,\frac{\sqrt{1+Ca_k^6}}{\sqrt{1+Ca_{eq}^6}} =$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{k_0}}{\sqrt{24\lambda}}\,\frac{V_m}{V_{eq}}\,\frac{\sqrt{1+Ca_m^6}}{\sqrt{1+Ca_{eq}^6}}\,m\sigma_i^2 = 1. \tag{23}$$

Now from Eqs.(15),(18)and(23), we find a relation between the Hubble parameter, at the moment when the energy densities are the same H_{eq}, in terms of curvaton parameter and the ratio of the scale factor at different epochs, given by:

$$H_{eq} = H_k\frac{V_{eq}}{V_k}\,\frac{a_k^3}{a_{eq}^3}\,\frac{\sqrt{1+Ca_{eq}^6}}{\sqrt{1+Ca_k^6}} = \sqrt{\frac{k_0}{24\lambda}}\;\frac{a_m^3}{a_{eq}^3}\,m^2\sigma_i^2. \tag{24}$$

The decay parameter Γ_σ is constrained by the requirement that the curvaton field decay before of nucleosynthesis happen. Then, we have that $H_{nucl} = 10^{-40}m_p < \Gamma_\sigma$. On the other hand, we also require that the curvaton decays after domination, and thus $\Gamma_\sigma < H_{eq}$ so that we obtain a constrain on the decay parameter, given by

$$10^{-40}m_p < \Gamma_\sigma < \sqrt{\frac{k_0}{24\lambda}}\;\frac{a_m^3}{a_{eq}^3}\,m^2\sigma_i^2 \tag{25}$$

with $a_m < a_{eq}$. In the standard case $H_{eq}^{(std)} = (3m_p^2)^{-1} 4\pi\sigma_i^2 m$ thus,

$$\Gamma_\sigma < H_{eq} < \frac{m\, m_p}{\sqrt{\lambda}} H_{eq}^{(std)}. \qquad (26)$$

Note that for the tachyon model in the BW cosmology the range of Γ_σ is shorter than the standard case.

Now it is interesting to give an estimation of the constrain on the parameters of the our model, using the scalar perturbation related to the curvaton field. We would like to point out here that the primordial curvature perturbation may have a completely different origin, namely the quantum fluctuation during inflation of a light scalar field (the curvaton scalar field)which is not the slowly-rolling inflaton, and need have nothing to do with the fields driving of inflation. In general, we may say that the curvaton creates the curvature perturbation in two separate stages. In the first stage, its quantum fluctuation during inflation is converted at horizon exit to a classical perturbation with a flat spectrum. Then in its second stage, after inflation, the perturbation in the curvaton field is converted into a curvature perturbation. At different with the usual mechanism, the generation of curvature by the curvaton requires no assumption about the nature of inflation, beyond the requirement that the Hubble parameter is practically constant. Instead, it requires certain properties of the curvaton and of the cosmology after inflation so that the required curvature perturbation will be generated. In this way, the evolution of the curvaton fluctuations resembles that of previous scenarios already present in the literature [7, 9]. The spectrum of the Bardeen parameter P_ζ, whose observed value is about 2×10^{-9}, allows to determine an initial value of curvaton field in terms of the parameter α. At the time when the decay of the curvaton fields occur, the Bardeen parameter becomes [7]

$$P_\zeta \simeq \frac{1}{9\pi^2} \frac{H_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}. \qquad (27)$$

The spectrum of fluctuations is automatically gaussian since $\sigma_i^2 >> H_i^2/4\pi^2$, and is independent of Γ_σ, a feature that will simplify the analysis on the parameter space. Moreover, even though the curvaton coexists with the inflaton field up to this epoch, the spectrum of fluctuations is the same as in the standard scenario.

From Eq.(27) and by using that $H_i^2 = (k_0/6\lambda)\,(2N+1)V_f^2$ and $V_f^2 = 2\alpha^2\,\lambda$ (reported in Ref.[8]) we relate the perturbation with the parameters of the model in such way that

$$\frac{27\pi}{4} \frac{P_\zeta}{(2N+1)}\, \sigma_i^2 = \frac{\alpha^2}{m_p^2}. \qquad (28)$$

This expression allows us to fix the initial value of the curvaton field in term of the free parameter α. By using Eq.(28), the constraint Eq.(21) transformed into the following constraint for the curvaton mass

$$\frac{m}{m_p} \ll \frac{3\pi P_\zeta^{1/2}}{(2N+1)^{1/2}} \frac{\sigma_i}{m_p}. \qquad (29)$$

Finally, Eq.(25) restricts the value of the decay parameter Γ_σ, which can be transformed into another constraint upon m, σ_i and λ, such that

$$\frac{m^2\, \sigma_i^2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \gg 10^{-40} m_p^2. \qquad (30)$$

On the other hand, considering that the curvaton field decays before it dominates the cosmological expansion (which we called second scenario), but after becomes $m \sim H$. The curvaton decays at a time when $\Gamma_\sigma = H$ and then from Eq.(15) we get

$$\frac{\Gamma_\sigma}{H_k} = \frac{V_d}{V_k} \frac{a_k^3}{a_d^3} \frac{\sqrt{1 + C a_d^6}}{\sqrt{1 + C a_k^6}}, \qquad (31)$$

where ‘ d' labels the different quantities at the time of curvaton decay.

The curvaton field should decay after it becomes $m \sim H$, so that $\Gamma_\sigma < m$; and before it dominates the expansion of the Universe, $\Gamma_\sigma > H_{eq}$ (see Eq.(24)). Therefore, we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{24\lambda}}\, \frac{a_m^3}{a_{eq}^3}\, m^2 \sigma_i^2 < \Gamma_\sigma < m. \qquad (32)$$

As we discussed above the curvaton field decays before it dominates the cosmological expansion, but after it becomes massive the slower limit is more closer to decay parameter than in the standard case as we could see from the follow expression

$$m > \Gamma_\sigma^{(std)} > H_{eq}^{(std)} > \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{m^2 m_p^2}}\, H_{eq}, \qquad (33)$$

since we have that $a_m < a_{eq}$. In this form, we could predict that the curvaton field should decay long before it dominates the expansion of the universe in comparison with the standard model, since $H_{eq}^{(std)} > H_{eq}^2/m$.

Now for the second scenario, the curvaton decays at the time when $\rho_\sigma < \rho_\phi$. If we defined the r_d parameter as the ratio between the curvaton and the tachyonic energy density, evaluated at $a = a_d$ and with the help of Eq.(31)

$$r_d = \left.\frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho_\phi}\right|_{a=a_d} = \frac{m^2 \sigma_i^2 \sqrt{k_0}}{H_k \sqrt{24\lambda}} \frac{V_k a_m^3 \sqrt{C a_k^6 + 1}}{V_d a_k^3 \sqrt{C a_d^6 + 1}} =$$

$$= \frac{m^2\sigma_i^2\sqrt{k_0}}{\Gamma_\sigma\sqrt{24\lambda}}\frac{a_m^3}{a_d^3}, \tag{34}$$

and for $r_d \ll 1$ (in agreement with the Eq.(32)), the Bardeen parameter is given by [9]

$$P_\zeta \simeq \frac{r_d^2}{36\pi^2}\frac{H_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}. \tag{35}$$

When the curvaton decay before domination the expression (35) could be write as

$$\frac{\sigma_i^2}{m_p^2} = 108\pi\,\frac{\lambda}{m^4}\left(\frac{a_d}{a_m}\right)^6\frac{P_\zeta}{(2N+1)}\left(\frac{\Gamma_\sigma}{H_f}\right)^2, \tag{36}$$

now the expression (32) is write as

$$108\sqrt{\frac{\lambda\pi^3 m_p^2}{3m^4}}\frac{a_d^6}{a_m^3 a_{eq}^3}\frac{P_\zeta}{(2N+1)}\left(\frac{\Gamma_\sigma}{H_f}\right)^2 < \Gamma_\sigma < m, \tag{37}$$

and from the above inequality, we find

$$\Gamma_\sigma < \sqrt{\frac{3m^4}{\lambda\pi^3 m_p^2}}\frac{(2N+1)H_f^2}{108P_\zeta}. \tag{38}$$

Using the values $P_\zeta \sim 10^{-5}$, $\lambda \sim 10^{-10}m_p^4$ and $H_f \sim 10\alpha/m_p$, we find that

$$\Gamma_\sigma < \left(\frac{10^6\alpha m}{m_p^{5/2}}\right)^2, \tag{39}$$

which can be used to estimate an upper limits on Γ_σ in term of α, as well as m.

In the first scenario, our calculations allow us to get the reheating temperature as hight as $10^{-5}m_p$, since the decay parameter $\Gamma_\sigma \propto T_{rh}^2/m_p$, where T_{rh} represents the reheating temperature. Here, we have used Eqs, (25) and (28), $a_m/a_{eq} \sim 10^{-1}$, $m \sim 10^{-5}m_p$, $\lambda \sim 10^{-10}m_p^4$ and the value $\alpha \sim 10^{-4}m_p^2$ [14, 18].

In the second scenario we have that, from the Eq. (39), we could estimate the reheating temperature to be of the order of $\sim 10^{-3}m_p$ as an upper limit.

As it was reported in Ref.[8], at the end of inflation ρ_ϕ at best could scales as a^{-3}, which is valid irrespectively of the form of the tachyonic potential, providing that it satisfies $V(\phi) \to 0$ as $\phi \to \infty$. However, this is not true in general, since in our particular case, we have found that it is possible to get a more complex expression for the dependence of the energy density ρ_ϕ in terms of the scale factor, how we could see from Eq. (16).

We should mention that, we have introduced the curvaton mechanism into NO inflationary brane tachyonic model as another possible solution to the problem of reheating, where there is not need to introduce an interaction between the tachyonic and some auxiliary scalar field.

Acknowledgments

CC was supported by MINISTERIO DE EDUCACION through MECESUP Grants FSM 0204. SdC was also supported by COMISION NACIONAL DE CIENCIAS Y TECNOLOGIA through FONDECYT Grants N^0 1030469, 1040624 and 1051086, and by UCV-DGIP N^0 123.764.

---

[1] A. Guth and S. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1110 (1982); S. Hawking, Phys. Lett. B **115**, 295 (1982).

[2] V.A. Rubacov, M.V. Sazhin, and A.V. Veryaskin Phys. Lett. B **115**, 189 (1982); R. Fabbri and M.D. Pollock, Phys. Lett. B **125**, 445 (1983); L.F. Abbott and W. Wise, Nucl. Phys. B **244**, 541 (1984).

[3] M. Kamionkowski, A. Kosowsky and A. Stebbins, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 7368 (1997); U. Seljak and M. Zaldarriaga, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2054 (1997).

[4] A.D. Golgov and A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B **116**, 329 (1982); L.F. Abbott, E. Fahri and M. Wise, Phys. Lett. B **117**, 29 (1982).

[5] G. Felder, L. Kofman and A. Linde, Phys. Rev. D**60**, 103505 (1999).

[6] L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. D**35**, 2955 (1987).

[7] A. R. Liddle and L. A. Ureña-López, Phys. Rev. D**68**, 043517 (2003).

[8] M. Sami, P. Chingangbam and T. Qureshi, Phys. Rev. D**66**, 043530 (2002).

[9] D. H. Lyth and D. Wands, Phys. Lett. B**524**, 5 (2002); S. Mollerach, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 313 (1990); D.H. Lyth and D. Wands, Phys. Lett. B **524**, 5 (2002).

[10] B. Feng and M. Li, Phys. Lett. B **564** 169 (2003).

[11] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **475**, 94 (1996); P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **460**, 506 (1996).

[12] J. Lindsey, Lect. Notes Phys. **646**, 357 (2004); P. Brax, C. van de Bruck, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, 201 (2003); E. Papantonopoulus, Lect. Notes Phys. **592**, 458 (2002).

[13] L. Kofman and A. Linde, JHEP **0207**, 004 (2002).

[14] C. Campuzano, S. del Campo and R. Herrera, gr-qc/0511128.

[15] Z. Guo, Y. Piao, R. Cai and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 043508 (2003).

[16] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999).

[17] A. Sen, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17** 1797 (2002) .

[18] K. Dimopoulos and D. H. Lyth, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 123509 (2004).